



# **Performance Evaluation of Highly Multiplexed Microbiology/MCM Devices – End User Perspective**

**Christine C. Ginocchio, Ph. D., M. T. (A.S.C.P.)**

**Senior Medical Director and Chief, Division of Infectious Disease Diagnostics,  
North Shore-LIJ Health System Laboratories, NY**

**Professor, Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine and Department of Molecular  
Medicine, Feinstein Institute for Medical Research, Hofstra University North Shore-LIJ  
School of Medicine, NY**



**Advancing Regulatory Science for Highly Multiplexed  
Microbiology/Medical Countermeasure Devices**

**FDA, MD October 13, 2011**



**Disclosures:** Scientific Advisory Board, Consultancy, Speaker's Bureau, Research Funding and/or Clinical Trial  
Funding Abbott, Becton Dickinson, bioMerieux, Copan, Curetis, Gen-Probe, Luminex, Nanosphere



# Laboratory and Clinical Acceptance: Necessity and Use

## Unmet clinical need and/or service improvement

- Is it relevant to our patient population?
- Does it contain the appropriate scope of analytes?
- Will the test change clinical practice?
- Do clinicians want the test?
- Will they accept and use the test?
- How do we ensure appropriate use?
- How do we monitor usage?
- How do we educate our medical and nursing staff?
- Does the clinical benefit outweigh the cost?

# Laboratory Acceptance

- **FDA Status**
  - Impact on regulatory requirements: verification/validation/QC vs LDT
  - CLIA, CAP, State
  - Expense, time, expertise to verify or validate
- **Performance characteristics**
  - Improves diagnostic yield (current and new analytes)
  - Comparable or better to current methods
  - Sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV
- **Expertise required to perform assay**
- **Desire for new and innovative technology**

# Laboratory Adoption

- **Cost benefit ratio:**
  - Bring revenue to lab (out reach)
  - Save money
    - Replace a costly send out test
    - Save technical time
    - Combines multiple tests in one assay
    - Decrease in reagent costs
  - Increase in laboratory testing costs
    - Provides strong clinical benefit and “hospital” savings
- **Evaluate laboratory costs**
  - Instrumentation, technical time, reagents
- **Work flow/turn around time**
  - STAT vs batch, once a day, multiple runs, 24/7??
- **Space**

# Technical Issues for IVD Clearance of Multiplex Devices

# Composition of Multiplex Assays

- Comprehensive so supplemental testing is not required: replace not add on (\$\$\$\$)
- Must not be incrementally more expensive by analyte number
- Analytes must be clinically relevant for diagnosis, syndrome and/or patient population
- Option to limit test results: Software function
- Multiplex convenience should not result in decreased sensitivity of detection

# Selection of Sample Type(s)

- How many specimen types will require validation?
- Will the sample types be related (NP wash, NP aspirate, NP swab) or potentially highly diverse (CSF, urine, blood)?
- Among related types how many need individual validation and how many positives per type?
- Will sample type effect target stability prior to testing?
- Will certain sample types require pretreatment steps?

# Nucleic Acid Extraction

- May require more stringent conditions for nucleic acid isolation and sample purity
- May need to recover a mixture of nucleic acids
  - Ex: RNA and DNA viruses
- Recovery at potentially variable clinically relevant levels:
  - Colonization vs infection
  - Amount of target present during infection
  - Time of sample collection
- Efficiency across all targets and sample types
  - Removal of amplification inhibitors
  - Effect of interfering substances
  - Possibility of multiple targets (high and low titer)
- Will input and extractions volumes vary by specimen type?

# Multiplex Considerations

- **Complex assay parameters**
- **Test the multiplex system in its final format to assess:**
  - target competition
  - cross reactivity among the different primers and probes
  - potential cross over of signals between analytes
- **Validate each analyte per sample type**
- **Demonstrate equal detection of all potential targets, alone and in combination with other analytes detected simultaneously by the system**
- **How many potential positives/sample?**

# Need for an Internal Control?

- May not be necessary if extraction removes >99% of inhibitors for EACH sample type to be tested
  - Test numbers (n=?) of individual sample types with spiked target(s) at the LOD
- Design an internal control that goes through the entire process (also serves as an extraction control)
  - Low copy housekeeping gene (specimen quality)
  - Spiked IC (IVTs) at low copy ( $\leq 10$  fold over LOD)
  - Validates sample results
  - Non-competitive (impair sensitivity)
- Establish inhibition rates per sample type using multiple individual samples (not pooled)
- Establish acceptable range for IC (not just positive)

# Development of External Controls

- Need to verify all reagents for each target
- Need to include controls in every run
  - Need to verify all targets every run?
  - Test more controls than patients
  - Are process controls acceptable for single unit devices?
- Should go through the entire test procedure
- Should mimic real samples as best as possible (be present in appropriate matrix)
- Should be tested at an analytically and clinically relevant level

# External Controls

- **Difficult to find for rare analytes, laboratories unable to prepare own controls**
- **Come in the test kit**
  - **Can not be used to validate that specific lot or shipment**
- **Be provided external to kit by manufacturer**
- **Commercially available**
- **Should be part of the test development**

# Availability of Validation Materials

- **Problems:**
  - Rare targets
  - Seasonal targets
  - Organisms unable to grow in culture
- **Alternative sources**
  - Retrospective banks of previously characterized selected positive samples
    - How characterized (guidelines for method acceptability)
    - Storage requirements
  - Retrospective banks of all previously characterized samples (positive and negative)
    - Process to eliminate bias for random
  - Ability to retest with previous method with discordant results vs new test
    - Degradation during storage
    - New device more sensitive than predicate device

# Comparison to a “Gold Standard”

- Compare to current non-molecular method
- Compare to another FDA IVD of high quality
- Problems
  - No comparator available
  - Comparator method is less sensitive and/or specific than new assay
- Effects assay sensitivity and specificity
- Discordant resolution
  - Against well validated LDT with bi-directional sequencing
  - Testing needs to be done on all samples?
  - Discordant analysis should be included in primary performance outcomes

# Laboratory Interpretation of Results

- User friendly software
- Interpretation of complex algorithms
- Need to establish positive/negative thresholds per target or is one acceptable?
  - May lose sensitivity and/or specificity
- Need for an indeterminate zone?
- Is the level of detection relevant to any or all targets?
  - Any presence significant
  - Differentiate colonization vs infection

# Clinical Interpretation of Results

- **What is the clinical impact of a false negative/ false positive result?**
  - Treatment (wrong or lack of)
  - Infection control: cohorting, transmission
- **What is the clinical significance of mixed infections?**
  - Mixed viral, bacterial or both
  - May or may not yet know
- **How should we report mixed infections?**
- **Medical education**

# Clinical Validation

Results correlate with clinical disease  
May not be necessary for established disease

- **Clinical sensitivity**
  - Relative to clinical decision making
  - Relative to target, specimen source
  - Too sensitive may not always be best
- **Clinical specificity**
  - Ability of the test to give a positive result in the presence of disease (PPV)
  - Ability of the test to give a negative result in the absence of disease (NPV)
  - What is the clinical impact of a false positive result for a rare analyte?

# Clinical Validation

- **Define reference range**
  - Relative to target, specimen source
  - Relative to patient population
  - Relative to disease state
  
- **Sources and references**
  - Published literature
  - Clinical trials and evaluations
  - Chart reviews

# Post-analytical Validation

- **Software interpretations**
- **Calculations**
- **Instrument report formats**
- **Instrument maintenance**
- **Stability of nucleic acids during storage**
- **Stability of samples for retest**
- **Stability of reagents over time**

# Laboratory Implementation

# Laboratory Implementation Parameters

- Assay and equipment verification
- Data analysis and reporting
- LIS/HIS
- SOPM
- Training and competency assessment
- Proficiency testing
- Clinical staff education

# Verification Studies

- **Analytical sensitivity/specificity**
- **Accuracy/precision**
- **Reproducibility**
- **Clinical sensitivity/specificity**
- **Reference range**
- **Instrumentation performance**
- **Quality control performance**

# Verification Studies

- **Demonstrate that you have verified the analytical and post-analytical performance characteristics as established by the manufacturer**
  - **Varies whether qualitative or quantitative assay:**
- **Confirm reference values and reportable ranges**
- **Confirm clinical performance**
- **Adequate number and reasonable distribution of sample types tested**
- **Results compared to another valid assay**
- **Can cite references**

# Clinical Verification

- What to test, how to test, when to test and how much to test?????
- Need to balance establishing accurate performance characteristics with cost, time, and practicality
  - Specimen availability: rare or common target
  - Primers, probes: previously published or new
  - Comparator assays: available or not
  - Experience with specimen type(s)
  - Experience with technology

# Verification Materials

- **Studies performed in appropriate and all sample matrices to be tested clinically**
  - Sensitivity, specificity, inhibition
- **Clinical specimens of known reactivity or concentration (previously tested)**
- **Stock organisms (rare targets???)**
- **Commercial sources**
  - RNA, DNA, whole virus, panels
  - Manufacturer provided validation panels
- **Spiked samples**
- **Split samples – reference laboratory**
- **Proficiency test samples**

# External Controls

- **Check for:**
  - Operator, instrument, reagents, sample, environment
- **Monitor all aspects of the analytical process:**
  - Sample addition, sample preparation, nucleic acid purity and quantity, reagent addition, reagent function, inhibition, reaction, detection and resulting
- **Type and frequency depend on:**
  - FDA status, CLIA, CAP. State requirements
  - Manufacturer requirements as stated in PI
  - Test format (single cartridge vs batch)
  - Every analyte: new lot, new shipment
  - Individual (\$\$\$\$) or pools
  - Rotate over test runs

# Staff Training and Competency

- **Read and sign SOPM**
- **Training:**
  - Prior to clinical testing
- **Blinded competency panels**
  - In-house, commercially purchased
- **Competency**
  - PT samples, in-house blinded panels
  - Visual observation
  - Yearly
- **Documentation**

# PT Testing (CLIA-88)

- Minimum of 5 samples per testing event (based on method: culture, PCR, DFA etc)
- Minimum of three testing events at approximately equal intervals per year (CMS regulated analyte)
- Minimum of two testing events at approximately equal intervals per year (non-regulated analyte)
- Limited commercial PT source materials
- In-house proficiency test materials
  - blinded commercial panels of known reactivity
  - samples split with a reference laboratory
  - previously tested samples of known reactivity

# Reimbursement

Reimbursement

# Reimbursement Issues

- Will we be reimbursed and at what rate?
- Will reimbursement at a minimum cover testing costs?
- Varies by:
  - payor, plan within payor, HMO, capitated, State (CMS)
- FDA status does not guarantee payment
- Lack of target specific CPT codes
- Must use generic code xxxx times 1,2,3,4.....
- MUEs: limit number of same CPT per day/patient
- Will reimbursement change to “syndromic” regardless of number of pathogens detected?

**Thank you**